

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2004 7:37 AM

(b)(3)

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: ~~NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

I don't know about the Army fighting for an expanded role, at least in Afghanistan, but I do know that CENTCOM never requested additional [Redacted] Strategic interrogation and debriefing was, and still is, generally conducted at GTMO.

(b)(1)

[Redacted] Had CENTCOM requested more [Redacted] we certainly would have provided them. I know of no RFI that was denied from CENTCOM. I can't speak for OIF.

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

I recommend that future doctrine establish the JIDC as an umbrella interrogation/debriefing HQ which have separate Joint Interrogation Facilities [Redacted] and Joint Debriefing Facilities [Redacted] subordinate to it.

Comments appreciated.

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 5:15 PM

(b)(3)

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: FW: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

7/19/2004

**CAVEATS: NOFORN**

**TERMS: NONE**

[Redacted] (b)(3)

(b)(1)

- I find the attach'd really interesting -

[Redacted] and that they fought for as large a piece of the pie as possible?

- The admiral is correct in stating the original intent in the consolidation of DoD HUMINT was that [Redacted] would be responsible for interrogation at major EPW detention areas.

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

- In any event, do any of you have any comments/observations you'd like to contribute to help us develop a response to the attached memo?

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (b)(3)  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 4:11 PM  
To: [Redacted]  
Subject: FW: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

From: [Redacted] (b)(3)  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 4:11:25 PM

[Redacted]

Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues  
Auto forwarded by a Rule

7/19/2004

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NONE~~

TERMS: NONE

ALCON:

(b)(3)

At 0900 hours on 19 Jul, we will meet in [redacted] office to discuss the issues in the attached RFI and sort out responsibilities for the input. Suspense has been moved to COB 23 Jul. [redacted] would like a draft by SOB Thursday, 22 Jul.  
Thanks

[redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]  
Sent: July 16, 2004 15:52  
To: [redacted] (b)(3)  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NONE~~

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen:

Attached is the subject memo for your viewing pleasure [redacted] just spoke with [redacted] (b)(6)  
and said the due date is pretty tight for the info being requested, so we now have until next Fri (b)(3)  
to turn our response in.

[redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

7/19/2004

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 3:33 PM  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

[redacted]

(b)(3)

[redacted] is working a similar action for the DIA IG. Suspect the questions are similar.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 3:29 PM  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues  
Importance: High

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen:

[redacted] received a faxed memo today from ADM Church re an RFI/statement of record on DHS's role in OIF/OEF, mainly focusing on interrogator issues. Response is due 20 July to the ADM. I have copies of the memo, so who is best to take lead on this so I can give them a copy to work off of? I will give you all a copy as well. Thanks.

(b)(3)  
(b)(2)

VIR,

[redacted]

(b)(3)

7/19/2004

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 5:15 PM  
To: [Redacted]  
Cc: [Redacted]

(b)(3)

Subject: FW: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

- I find the atch'd really interesting

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

and that they fought for as large a piece of the pie as possible?

- The admiral is correct in stating the original intent in the consolidation of DoD HUMINT was that [Redacted] would be responsible for interrogation at major EPW detention areas.

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

- In any event, do any of you have any comments/observations you'd like to contribute to help us develop a response to the attached memo?

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 4:11 PM  
To: [Redacted]  
Subject: FW: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues



7/19/2004

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 4:11:25 PM

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues  
Auto forwarded by a Rule

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

CAVEATS: NONE

TERMS: NONE

ALCON:

At 0900 hours on 19 Jul, we will meet in [REDACTED] office to discuss the issues in the attached RFI and sort out responsibilities for the input. Suspense has been moved to COB 23 Jul. [REDACTED] would like a draft by SOB Thursday, 22 Jul.  
Thanks

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: July 16, 2004 15:52  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen:

7/19/2004

Attached is the subject memo for your viewing pleasure. [redacted] just spoke with [redacted] and said the due date is pretty tight for the info being requested, so we now have until next Fri to turn our response in.

(b)(3)  
(b)(6)

[redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 3:33 PM  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

[redacted]

(b)(3)

[redacted] is working a similar action for the DIA IG. Suspect the questions are similar. (b)(3)

[redacted]

(b)(3)



-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 3:29 PM  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]  
Subject: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues  
Importance: High

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen:

7/19/2004

Page 1 of 1

(b)(3)(b)(6)  
(b)(2)  
 [REDACTED] received a faxed memo today from ADM Church re an RFI/statement of record on [REDACTED] role in OIF/OEF, mainly focusing on interrogator issues. Response is due 20 July to the ADM. I have copies of the memo, so who is best to take lead on this so I can give them a copy to work off of? I will give you all a copy as well. Thanks.

V/R

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

~~DERIVED FROM: DH 100 SEP 2003~~

~~DECLASSIFY ON: X1~~

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

~~TERMS: NONE~~

~~DERIVED FROM: DH 100 SEP 04~~

~~DECLASSIFY ON: X1~~

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS: NOFORN~~

~~TERMS: NONE~~

~~DERIVED FROM: DH 100 SEP 2000~~

~~DECLASSIFY ON: X1~~

~~CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: SECRET~~

~~CAVEATS W/O ATCH: NOFORN~~

~~TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE~~

~~CLASSIFICATION: SECRET~~

7/19/2004