

~~SECRET~~



Defense Intelligence Agency
Office of the Inspector General
Office for Investigations
Report of Conversation

(b)(3):10
USC
424,(b)(6)

Date: 19 May 2004	Project 9999 (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424	Time: 1300
Name of Contact: [REDACTED]	Office/Division: [REDACTED]	Phone: [REDACTED]
Address of Organization: [REDACTED]	(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424	
Employee Name: [REDACTED]	Office/Division: IG	Phone #: [REDACTED]
Circle One WE	VISITED	

Subject: Knowledge of incidents relating to IRAQ Prison Situation

What was said:

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] met with [REDACTED] who was first asked if [REDACTED] had been interviewed by any other agency regarding the Iraq prison issues. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had not been interviewed by anyone. [REDACTED] related that [REDACTED] was TDY to Iraq from 23 October 2003 to 21 April 2004. During [REDACTED] time in Iraq [REDACTED] was the [REDACTED] in which [REDACTED] was in charge of a 3-4 man team that helped focused the interrogations. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] had the opportunity to visit the prison on two different occasions, during [REDACTED] 6 months in Iraq. During [REDACTED] last visit to the prison, [REDACTED] only observation of any prisoners was a single detainee being escorted by two guards, during which [REDACTED] did not observe anything but gentle handling of the detainee. [REDACTED] was asked about any other DIA employees who may have been involved with the detainees, at which time [REDACTED] stated that DIA had no permanent representation at the prison until about February 2004, when a [REDACTED] reports officers arrived, there were two of them and they were contractors. [REDACTED] did not know the identities of the two individuals. [REDACTED] went on to say that when [REDACTED] arrived, [REDACTED] and three contractors arrive in Iraq, their DIA association stopped. They then reported directly to [REDACTED].

(b)(2)

[REDACTED] was asked about [REDACTED] knowledge of abuses specifically "Rape" that may have occurred against female detainees. [REDACTED] related that [REDACTED] personally knew of none, however, [REDACTED] does remember in a meeting held by [REDACTED] during which [REDACTED] discussed an interview [REDACTED] had with BG TAGUBA, where BG TAGUBA had stated that there was allegations that some female detainees may have been raped by US Soldiers, and that the detainees had been tested for pregnancy with negative results. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] has no eyewitness or direct knowledge of abuses at the prison.

(b)(2)

[REDACTED] stated that the expectations of "Non Humanitars" were that one had to break the detainees to get the information. [REDACTED] stated that "HQ" wanted the interrogators to break the detainees. The interrogators were members of the [REDACTED] based out of [REDACTED] (b)(2)

(b)(2)

[REDACTED] but [REDACTED] FBI also had interrogators working at the prison. (b)(1)

[REDACTED] was asked if there was some type of document, such as an SOP or regulation that stated what the interrogators could or could not do to the detainees. [REDACTED] stated there is a 35 page

Reviewed by: [Signature]

~~SECRET~~

DIA12

~~SECRET~~

document (Frag Order), which spells out the rules of engagement by which the interrogators were supposed to operate under. [redacted] went on to say the people were encourage to go to the outer limits to get information from the detainees by people who wanted the information, [redacted] was asked to whom [redacted] was referring and [redacted] stated LTG SANCHEZ [redacted] said there was desperate need to get information from the detainees. [redacted] did not have additional information to provide, and provided a contact number [redacted] to reach [redacted] until [redacted] retires at the end of June 04.

(b)(3):10
USC 424



Reviewed by:

2

~~SECRET~~

DIA13